

Teachers' Feedback



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Applied Science & Humanities

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

Sl. No.	Faculty Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	Programmes outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	Curriculum having good academic flexibility	Need to fully review the syllabus	Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	ANAND AWASTHI	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	4
2	UMA GUPTA	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	4
3	ALMAS	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	2	4	4	3
4	JWALA SINGH	2	4	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	2	2	4
5	DEEPANJALI SHUKLA	4	1	2	4	3	2	4	4	3	4	3	4
6	RICHA TIWARI	2	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	2
7	DEEPAK KUMAR JHA	3	4	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4
8	AKANSHA MISHRA	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3
9	MANISH KHULLAR	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
10	AWANEESH Jee SRIVASTAVA	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
11	MOHD SARTAJ	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	2	4	4	3
12	ANSHU SRIVASTAVA	2	4	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
13	VIKAS KUMAR PANDEY	4	1	2	4	3	4	4	2	3	4	3	4
14	PRADEEP SINGH YADAV	2	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	2
15	PRATYUSH TRIPATHI	3	4	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4
16	KRISHNA KUMAR MISHRA	4	3	3	1	3	3	4	4	4	3	2	3
17	SHIVANI RANJAN	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
18	VANI GUPTA	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
19	ASMITA VERMA	4	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	3
20	PRINCE VICTOR VENSON	2	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
21	KIRAN GUPTA	2	3	4	1	3	4	3	3	4	2	4	4
22	RAM SAGAR TIWARI	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	3	3	2
Average:		3.18	3.23	3.27	3.23	3.32	3.09	3.14	3.27	3.18	3.00	3.27	3.50

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Domain wise Industry-academia interaction and value added courses are required to meet the industry requirements.
3. Theoretically the subjects are strongly designed but lack in Experiments

Action Taken

Analysis & Estimation of a Project including various industrial visits.



Deepanjali Shukla
(HOD ASH)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India
Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of B.Tech-Biotech

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24)

S. No.	Faculty Name	1 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	2 The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	3 Programme outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	4 Curriculum having good academic flexibility	5 Need to fully review the syllabus	6 Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	7 University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	8 Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	9 Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	10 Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	11 There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	12 There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	DEEPAK VERMA	4	4	3	4	2	3	3	4	4	2	4	3
2	NEERAJ MISHRA	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4
3	ANSHUL NIGAM	4	4	3	4	3	3	2	4	4	3	3	4
4	PRIYANKA GANGWAR	4	4	2	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	3
5	SWATI BAJPAI	4	3	4	2	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
6	RITU KUMARI SINGH	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4
7	ABHILASHA	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	3
8	ASHISH RANJAN SINGH	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3
Average:		3.63	3.88	3.38	3.25	3.13	3.38	3.50	3.63	3.63	3.25	3.63	3.38

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Some subjects lack the syllabus according to the current demand of the industry. Theoretically the subjects are strongly designed but lack in Experiments

Action Taken

Department conducted Experimental Based Summer School on Planning, Designing , Analysis & Estimation of a Project including various industrial visits



Dr. Neeraj Mishra
(HOD Biotech)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

Sl. No.	Faculty Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	Programmes outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	Curriculum having good academic flexibility	Need to fully review the syllabus	Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	RAKESH KR PANDEY	4	4	3	2	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	4
2	RAHUL UMRAO	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3
3	MOHD ARIF	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	4
4	NOORUL ISLAM	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
5	RATNESH VERMA	4	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
6	FAIZA HASAN	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
8	SUKHSAGAR MISRA	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4
9	VIMAL PANDEY	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	3
10	ERA BAJPAI	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	3
11	VAIBHAV SHUKLA	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	4	3
12	ABHISHEK VISHNOI	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
Average:		3.91	3.45	3.45	3.36	3.27	3.36	3.09	3.18	3.73	3.18	3.73	3.45

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Suggestions were made to start Project Based Learning for the students
3. Enhanced industry connect so that bridge between the theoretical learning and industrial work can be made.

Action Taken

We started Project Based Learning for second year and third year students.

To bridge this gap, mentorship of alumni and experts from industry is started from II year projects


Dr. Rahul Umrao
HOD EN



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rourkela, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

Sl. No.	Faculty Name	1 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	2 The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of	3 Programme outcomes of the syllabi is well defined	4 Curriculum having good academic flexibility	5 Need to fully review the syllabus	6 Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	7 University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	8 Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well	9 Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	10 Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	11 There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	12 There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is
1	SHASHANK SRIVASTAVA	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	2
2	KRATIKA VARSHNEY	4	3	2	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	2	4
3	PRABHAT GUPTA	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3
4	KAUSHAL KISHOR	3	4	4	4	3	2	3	2	3	4	4	4
5	BIPIN GUPTA	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4
6	ASHEESH GUPTA	2	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3
Average:		3.00	3.83	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.50	3.67	3.17	3.33

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Curriculum does not have good balance between theory and practical courses.
3. No freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.

Action Taken

Extra lab slots were provided in some subjects
Labs were conducted through PLC, PIECE/PIECE, MATLAB


Dr. Rahul Umrao
HOD ECE



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India
Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Business Administration

(Faculty Feedback (20223-24))

SN.	Faculty Name	1 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	2 The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	3 Programme's outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	4 Curriculum having good academic flexibility	5 Need to fully review the syllabus	6 Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	7 University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	8 Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	9 Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	10 Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	11 There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	12 There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	FARAZ KHAN	4	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	4	2	3
2	MOHD AZAM	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	4
3	Dr. DEEPAK SHARMA	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2
4	BUSHRA BEGUM	3	4	3	2	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	2
5	AMRISH CHANDRA	4	2	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	3
6	NISHU BHADORIYA	2	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	3	2	3	4
7	RAJESH SINGH	4	2	4	3	4	2	4	2	4	3	2	2
8	NIDHI KAPOOR	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	4
9	HINA SIRAJ	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	4	4
10	SONALI GUPTA	4	2	2	3	4	2	4	3	4	4	3	3
11	Dr. ANUPAMA ASTHANA	3	2	4	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
12	MRIDUL BAJPAI	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	4	4	4	2	4
13	AMBIKEY KASHYAPI	2	2	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	4	4	3
Average:		3.15	2.85	3.38	3.08	3.23	3.23	3.46	3.38	3.23	3.23	3.15	3.23

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Domain wise Industry-academia interaction and value added courses are required to meet the industry requirements.

Action Taken

Department has started running various Short term courses like Digital marketing, HR Payroll and Tally for developing the skills of the students.

Faraz Khan
(HOD MBA)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Applied Arts & Craft

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

SL No.	Faculty Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	Programme outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	Curriculum having good academic flexibility	Need to fully review the syllabus	Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	DEVANSHI DHINGRA	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
2	PARUL PARUL	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
3	ARPIT SAXENA	3	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
4	AMIT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
5	SHALINI SHARMA	2	3	4	1	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	4
6	GEETIKA PAUL	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3	2
7	SURESH KUMAR YADAV	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2
8	NOOR FATMA	3	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	4	2	4	3
9	SAKSHI GUPTA	3	4	4	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	4
10	MOHAMMAD ARIF	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	4
11	PRATYA SINGH	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3
12	SHIVENDRA SINGH	2	4	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	2	2	4
Average:		3.17	3.50	3.25	3.00	2.75	3.08	2.67	2.92	3.17	3.00	3.08	3.42

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Syllabus carries a gap
3. Faculty had suggested for enhanced industry connect so that bridge between the theoretical learning and industrial work can be made.

Action Taken

In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and pursue higher studies.

To bridge this gap, mentorship of alumni and experts from industry is started from II year projects.

Shalini Sharma
(HOD AAC)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Mechanical Engineering

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

S. No.	Faculty Name	1 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	2 The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	3 Programme outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	4 Curriculum having good academic flexibility	5 Need to fully review the syllabus	6 Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	7 University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	8 Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	9 Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	10 Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	11 There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	12 There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	Dr. BRAJESH VARSHNEY	2	4	2	4	4	3	3	4	3	2	3	4
2	Dr. VIVEK SRIVASTAVA	2	3	4	1	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	4
3	Dr. SANJAY SINGH	4	3	3	1	3	3	4	2	4	3	2	3
4	SUBHASHIT YADAV	3	4	3	3	2	2	4	3	4	2	3	4
5	MANOJ YADAV	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
6	ARUN DWIVEDI	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
7	SANDEEP VERMA	3	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
8	ANKIT SHUKLA	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
9	PRATEEK GANGWAR	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3	2
10	SHAILENDRA SINGH	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2
11	SUMIT KUMAR	3	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	4	2	4	3
12	RISHABH MISHRA	3	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	4
13	RAHUL BAJPAI	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	2	4	2	4
14	ALOK LAKHERA	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	2	3	2	4	2
15	DEEPAK YADAV	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	3	4	3	4
Average:		3.17	3.58	3.00	3.25	2.75	3.25	2.67	2.83	3.17	2.83	3.00	3.33

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much aliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

Action Taken

Research outcomes of the projects will be published in International Conference/Journal/patents by the students of 4th year students
soft skill classes and placement preparation classes will be organized for the student's preparation of placement



Dr. Vivek Srivastava
(HOD ME)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India

Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Master of Computer Applications

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

S. No.	Faculty Name	1 Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	2 The course/progr amme of studies carries sufficient number of optional naners	3 Programme outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	4 Curriculu m having good academic flexibility	5 Need to fully review the syllabus	6 Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	7 University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	8 Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	9 Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	10 Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	11 There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	12 There is a recognition/ incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	ARBIND KUMAR	4	3	4	3	2	4	4	3	2	4	2	2
2	SAGARIKA CHAKRAVORTY	3	3	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	2	4	3
3	ABHISHEK TIWARI	2	4	3	4	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	4
4	SANJAY SHAHI	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4
5	ASHISH SHUKLA	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
6	HEMANT KUMAR	4	3	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	3	3	3
7	SANGEETA RANJAN	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	4
8	AMNA ALAM	4	3	4	2	2	4	3	2	2	3	3	3
9	ANITA TRIPATHI	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	2	2
10	SURAJ SRIVASTAVA	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	4	4	3	4
11	SUJEET KUMAR	3	2	3	2	4	3	2	2	3	4	2	4
12	Dr. RITU YADAV	2	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	4
Average:		3.25	3.08	3.42	3.08	3.08	3.00	3.17	2.92	3.00	3.17	3.00	3.25

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Domain wise Industry-acadmia interaction and value added courses are required to meet the industry requirements.

Action Taken

Industry readiness courses will be organized for all students for enhancing the employability skills of students
soft skill classes and placement preparation classes will be organized for the student's preparation of placement



Ajeet Singh
(HOD MCA)



KANPUR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A-1, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Rooma, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.) India
Ph: 7705011891 E-mail-ID: info@kit.ac.in, director.kit@kit.ac.in

Grading Range		
Sr. No.	Grade	Marks
1	Average	1
2	Good	2
3	Very Good	3
4	Excellent	4

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

(Faculty Feedback (2023-24))

Sl. No.	Faculty Name	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teacher and students	The course/programme of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	Programme's outcomes of the syllabi is well defines	Curriculum having good academic flexibility	Need to fully review the syllabus	Students are disciplined and respect the staff members	University provides opportunities for continuous development of staff	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	Computer facilities are made available for ICT based teaching to the students	Good Facility and encouragement to the teachers for their research	There is a mechanism for feedback, review and performance enhancement for the staff	There is a recognition/incentive/Appreciation of the individual work is given
1	BALRAM SHARMA	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
2	PRIYANKA TOMAR	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
3	PALAK BAGGA	3	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
4	SHAILJA GUPTA	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
5	RAJU SINGH	2	3	4	1	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	4
6	ANJU CHOUDHARY	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3	2
7	KANIZ AYESHA	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2
8	SHUBHAM SINGH	3	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	4	2	4	3
9	AMAN KESHARWANI	3	4	4	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	4
10	KANCHAN GAUTAM	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	4
11	AKANKSHA SHUKLA	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3
12	RAVINDER	2	4	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	2	2	4
13	HABIB RAHMAN	4	1	2	4	3	2	4	2	3	4	3	4
14	NARENDRA RAJPUT	2	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	2
15	ANIL KUMAR	3	4	3	3	2	2	4	3	4	2	3	4
16	ANKUSH GAUR	4	3	3	1	3	3	4	2	4	3	2	3
17	MANDEEP CHOWDHARY	3	4	2	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
18	DEEPAK SINGH	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	4	2	3	4	4
19	RAJAT GOEL	3	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
20	SNEHA YADAV	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	4
21	ALFISHA ALAM	2	3	4	1	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	4
22	ANUPAMA SRIVASTAVA	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3	2
23	PRAGATI DWIVEDI	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2

24	APARNA SHARMA	3	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	4	2	4	3
25	MALLIKA GUPTA	3	4	4	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	4
26	SAKSHI MUNSHI	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	4
27	GAURAV DIXIT	4	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	3
28	RAHUL SINGH	2	4	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	2	2	4
29	AJAY BANODHIYA	4	1	2	4	3	2	4	2	3	4	3	4
30	ABHINANDAN SHUKLA	2	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	2
31	DEEP SRIVASTAVA	3	4	3	3	2	2	4	3	4	2	3	4
32	PEEYUSH SHARMA	4	3	3	1	3	3	4	2	4	3	2	3
33	NEHARIKA NISHAD	4	2	4	2	2	4	2	4	3	3	2	1
34	SUBHI	3	3	3	2	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	2
35	SIMRAN KANOJIA	4	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4
Average:		3.23	3.29	3.23	2.91	2.77	2.91	3.00	2.77	3.31	3.06	3.00	3.29

Analysis:

1. Average was taken for all the 12 criterias.
2. Syllabus carries a gap
4. Student were Assigned Projects so that they may work on industry related applications

Action Taken

Case Study were added in syllabus to fill the gap



Rahul Singh
(HOD CSE)